## MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub: Petition under Regulation 45 of MPERC (Conduct of Business)(Revision -I) Regulations, 2016 seeking appropriate directions against Levy of Fixed Charges for Availing Standby Support for whole month and for refund of amount recovered towards fixed charges in violation of applicable Regulations and Agreement for availing standby support. (P. No. 66/2023)

## **DAILY ORDER**

(Hearing through Video Conferencing)

Date of Hearing: 04.01.2024 Date of Order: 04.01.2024

M/s. Dhanuka Biotech Private Ltd., Neemuch : Petitioner

V/s

MP Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitran Co. Ltd. : Respondent

Shri Dheeraj Singh Panwar, Advocate appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Shri Shailendra Jain, Deputy Director appeared on behalf of the respondent.

- 2. At the hearing held on 04.01.2024, Respondent sought 15 days time to file reply because copy of Petition was served only on the 27<sup>th</sup> December, 2023. Respondent was allowed 10 days time to file reply. The Petitioner may file rejoinder within seven days, thereafter.
- 3. At the hearing it is also noted that the petitioner has not served copy of the petition within timeline fixed by the Commission, nor did they seek extension of time for the same. It is therefore pointed out that timelines given by the Commission are sacrosanct and to be complied with. In case of genuine difficulty in meeting the timelines given by the Commission, parties are expected to seek further time before expiry of the timelines so given. Listed for arguments on the 23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2024.

(Prashant Chaturvedi) Member (Gopal Srivastava) Member (Law)

(S. P. S. Parihar) Chairman