

**MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL**

**Subject: M. P. Power Transmission Package – II Ltd.’s Petition under Section 86, including Section 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the relevant provisions of the Transmission Service Agreement (TSA) dated 05.02.2021 executed between the Petitioner and MP Power Management Company Limited, seeking extension of Scheduled COD on account of occurrence of Force Majeure events, appropriate relief for Change in Law events and Change in Scope of Work, consequential reliefs on account of delay due to the Force Majeure and Change in Law events and payment of Monthly Transmission Charges from the date of deemed commissioning of the respective elements.**

**Petition No. 78 and IA Nos. 9 & 10 of 2024**

**Daily Order**

**(Hearing through Video Conferencing)**

**(Date of Hearing: 20<sup>th</sup> August 2025)**

**(Date of Daily Order: 20<sup>th</sup> August 2025)**

**M. P. Power Transmission Package - II Limited**

C/o Adani Energy Solutions Limited,  
Adani Corporate House, Adani Shantigram,  
Near Vaishno Devi, Ahmedabad – 382421

- **Petitioner**

V/s

**1) Managing Director**

M. P. Power Management Company limited  
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

**2) Managing Director**

M. P. Power Transmission Company limited  
Block No. 2, Shakti Bhawan, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

**3) Managing Director**

M. P. Poorv Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited  
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

**4) Managing Director**

M. P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited  
Nistha Parisar, Govindpura, Bhopal, MP – 462023

**5) Managing Director**

M. P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited  
Polo Ground, Indore, MP – 452003

- **Respondents**

Shri Sanjay Sen, Advocate and Shri Dilip Moolchandani, Representative appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Ms Alok Shanker, Advocate and Shri S. Niranjana, Manager MPPMCL appeared on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 3, 4 and 5.

Shri Rajeev Adlakha and Shri Abhinav Anand, AE appeared on behalf of Respondent No. 2.

*Continued to next page...*

*Continued from pre page...*

2. At the hearing, the Ld. Counsel of Petitioner referred to some paragraphs of their petition / rejoinder and additional note in support of his arguments. He submitted that he shall file his additional note shortly. He further submitted that he has received reply from Respondent No.1 only and not from Respondent No. 2 (STU / MPPTCL) or other Respondents. The Ld. Counsel of Respondent No. 1 stated that he also represents Respondents No. 3, 4 & 5. He submitted that Petitioner has filed rejoinder yesterday and some time may be allowed to file counter response. The Petitioner prayed that Interim Relief granted on 17/12/2024 and continued thereafter may kindly be continued till disposal of the petition.
3. The Commission directs Respondent No. 2 to file written submissions as STU, if any before next date of hearing.
4. The Commission directs that Interim Relief granted vide daily order dated 17/12/2024 and continued thereafter shall further continue till next date of hearing.
5. As requested by the Petitioner, the Commission allowed 3 days' time to the Petitioner to file his note and 3 days' time, thereafter to the Respondents to make their submissions. Copies of the aforesaid responses be served simultaneously to all other parties to the Petition.
6. The case is fixed for hearing on the **1<sup>st</sup> September 2025**.

**(Prashant Chaturvedi)**  
**Member**

**(Gopal Srivastava)**  
**Acting Chairman**