

MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Subject: M. P. Power Transmission Package – II Ltd.’s Petition under Section 86, including Section 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the relevant provisions of the Transmission Service Agreement (TSA) dated 05.02.2021 executed between the Petitioner and MP Power Management Company Limited, seeking extension of Scheduled COD on account of occurrence of Force Majeure events, appropriate relief for Change in Law events and Change in Scope of Work, consequential reliefs on account of delay due to the Force Majeure and Change in Law events and payment of Monthly Transmission Charges from the date of deemed commissioning of the respective elements.

Petition No. 78 and IA Nos. 9 & 10 of 2024

Daily Order

(Hearing through Video Conferencing)

(Date of Hearing: 23rd April 2025)

(Date of Daily Order: 23rd April 2025)

M. P. Power Transmission Package - II Limited

C/o Adani Energy Solutions Limited,
Adani Corporate House, Adani Shantigram,
Near Vaishno Devi, Ahmedabad – 382421

- **Petitioner**

V/s

1) Managing Director

M. P. Power Management Company limited
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

2) Managing Director

M. P. Power Transmission Company limited
Block No. 2, Shakti Bhawan, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

3) Managing Director

M. P. Poorv Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

4) Managing Director

M. P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited
Nistha Parisar, Govindpura, Bhopal, MP – 462023

5) Managing Director

M. P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited
Polo Ground, Indore, MP – 452003

- **Respondents**

Shri Mridul Chakravarty, Advocate and Shri Dilip Moolchandani, Representative appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Shri Alok Shanker, Advocate and Shri Vishvat Chouhan, Manager MPPMCL appeared on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 3, 4 and 5.

Shri Abhinav Anand, AE appeared on behalf of Respondent No. 2.

Continued to next page...

Continued from pre page...

2. During hearing, the Ld. Counsel of Respondent No. 1 broadly reiterated the contents of its e-mailed letter of 17/04/2025 and sought six weeks additional time to file reply on Merits of the subject Petition. The Petitioner's Ld. Counsel sought two weeks' time, thereafter to file its counter responses. The Petitioner prayed that Interim Relief granted on 17/12/2024 and continued thereafter may kindly be continued till disposal of the petition.
3. The Commission considered their aforementioned submissions and directed that Interim Relief granted vide daily order dated 17/12/2024 and continued on 28/01/2025 and 26/03/2025 shall further continue till next date of hearing.
4. The Commission directed the Petitioner and the Respondents to make their submissions on Merits of the subject Petition within four weeks. Copies of the aforesaid responses be served simultaneously to all other parties to the Petition. Parties are at liberty to file their rejoinders, if any to aforesaid submissions within two weeks, thereafter with copies to all other parties to the petition, simultaneously. `
5. The case is fixed for hearing and arguments on the **25th June 2025**.

(Prashant Chaturvedi)
Member

(Gopal Srivastava)
Member (Law)