

MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Subject: M. P. Power Transmission Package – II Ltd.’s Petition under Section 86, including Section 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the relevant provisions of the Transmission Service Agreement (TSA) dated 05.02.2021 executed between the Petitioner and MP Power Management Company Limited, seeking extension of Scheduled COD on account of occurrence of Force Majeure events, appropriate relief for Change in Law events and Change in Scope of Work, consequential reliefs on account of delay due to the Force Majeure and Change in Law events and payment of Monthly Transmission Charges from the date of deemed commissioning of the respective elements.

Petition No. 78 and IA Nos. 9 & 10 of 2024

Daily Order

**(Hearing through Video Conferencing)
(Date of Motion Hearing: 28th January 2025)
(Date of Daily Order: 28th January 2025)**

M. P. Power Transmission Package - II Limited

C/o Adani Energy Solutions Limited,
Adani Corporate House, Adani Shantigram,
Near Vaishno Devi, Ahmedabad – 382421

- **Petitioner**

V/s

1) Managing Director

M. P. Power Management Company limited
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

2) Managing Director

M. P. Power Transmission Company limited
Block No. 2, Shakti Bhawan, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

3) Managing Director

M. P. Poorv Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, MP – 482008

4) Managing Director

M. P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited
Nistha Parisar, Govindpura, Bhopal, MP – 462023

5) Managing Director

M. P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company limited
Polo Ground, Indore, MP – 452003

- **Respondents**

Shri Sourav Roy, Advocate and Shri Dilip Moolchandani, Representative appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Shri Alok Shanker, Advocate and Shri Vishvat Choudhan, Manager MPPMCL appeared on behalf of Respondents No. 1, 3, 4 and 5.

Shri Abhinav Anand, AE appeared on behalf of Respondent No. 2.

Continued to next page...

Continued from pre page...

2. The Petitioner and the Respondents were heard on the issue of admissibility. The Petitioner submitted that as directed vide Commission's Daily Order dated 17/12/2024, the petition was served to all Respondents. However, no response has been received from any Respondent till date. The Respondent submitted that a case filed by the Petitioner regarding extension of SCOD is pending at Hon'ble APTEL. The impact of that case on the subject petition needs to be examined. The Petitioner prayed that Interim Relief granted on 17/12/2024 be continued till the next date of hearing. Both parties submitted that looking to the subject matter and details thereof, more time may be granted to file their respective submissions.
3. The Commission considered their aforementioned submissions and directed that Interim Relief granted vide daily order dated 17/12/2024 shall continue till next date of hearing. The Respondents are granted time of 10 days to file responses as directed vide daily Order dated 17/12/2024. A copy of the aforesaid responses be served to the Petitioner simultaneously. The Petitioner may file rejoinder within a week, thereafter.
4. The case is fixed for hearing on the **26th March 2025**.

(P. K. Chaturvedi)
Member

(Gopal Srivastava)
Member (Law)