



Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

5th Floor, Metro Plaza, Arera Colony, Bittan Market, Bhopal 462 016

[Home](#)
[About Us](#)
[Acts & Rules](#)
[Regulations](#)
[Consumer Service](#)
[Regulated Entity](#)
[MPERC Info](#)
[Related Links](#)
[RTI Act 2005](#)
[Non-Conventional](#)
[Tenders Info](#)
[Tariff Orders & Petitions](#)
[Suo Motu Orders](#)
[Final Orders](#)
[Approach & Discussion Papers](#)
[Contact Details](#)
[Feedback Form](#)
[Miscellaneous Info](#)
[National Electricity Policy](#)
[Tariff Policy](#)
[MIS Status](#)
[Contact Us](#)

Suo Motu Petition no. 113/2004

Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission : Bhopal

IN THE MATTER OF INSPECTION OF CONSUMER PREMISES AND ISSUE OF PROVISIONAL ASSESSMENT ORDER BY THE FLYING SQUAD.

ORDER SHEET Dated 15.12.2004

Shri B.L.Swarnkar, S.E.(Comml.) alongwith Shri H.S.Shrivastava, S.E.(Vigilance); Shri S.K. Mishra and Shri U.K. Ghosh appears on behalf of the Board.

2. The Commission observed that the printed format used by the flying squad Vigilance Team does not indicate the provisions of law under which the inspection is carried out. The provisional assessment order also does not indicate the provisions of law under which the assessment is done. The Board was accordingly directed to consider revision of formats by suitably incorporating relevant provision of law.

3. During the course of hearing on dated 12/10/04, formats with some modifications were submitted. The modifications were found incomplete and it was directed by the Commission that these formats should contain relevant provisions very clearly so that the consumer is aware that under which provision of law inspection is carried out and assessment orders are issued. The information as to whom appeal can be made should also be indicated in the final assessment order.

4. During the course of hearing today, the format submitted by the Board was reviewed and observed to be in order except that the Format A-4 i.e. final assessment order should specify the designation and address of the Appellate Authority. The format for inspection should also contain relevant provisions of Section 126. The Commission directs that the inspection report should clearly indicate the Section 126 or 135 as the case may be under which the inspection is carried out clearly in the inspection report itself.

5. As regards details of billing to M/s. Shiv Shakti Marble Factory, it was informed that the billing has been done under Section 126 in accordance with the provisions contained therein. Since the bill is not paid therefore, the FIR has been lodged and the matter is under process. The consumer so far has not approached for compounding of the offence.

6. The Commission decides to close the petition.

Sd/-
(D.Roybardhan)
Member (Engg.)

Sd/-
(P.K.Mehrotra)
Chairman