

Home About Us

Acts & Rules

Consumer Service

Regulated Entity
MPERC Info

Related Links

RTI Act 2005 Non-Conventional

Tenders Info

Final Orders

Tariff Orders &

Suo Motu Orders

Approach & Dicussion Papers

Miscellaneous Info

Contact Details Feedback Form

Tariff Policy

MIS Status

Contact Us

Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

5th Floor, Metro Plaza, Arera Colony, Bittan Market, Bhopal 462 016

Dated: 26th April, 2006

_Sub: In the matter of application of the M.P. Power Transmission Company Ltd., for review of Commission's order passed on 07.02.06 in respect of determination of transmission and allied charges for F.Y. 06 (Petition No.111 of 2005)

Order Petition No. 23/2006

M.P. Power Transmission Company Ltd.,
(MPPTCL) Shakti Bhawan, Jabalpur

V/s

M.P. Poorv K.V.V.C.L., Jabalpur.

M.P. Madhya K.V.V.C.L. Bhopal

M.P. Paschim K.V.V.C.L. Indore.

M.P. Audyogik Kendra Vikas Nigam, Indore

M.P. State Electricity Board, Jabalpur

M.P. Power Generating Co. Ltd., Jabalpur

- Poetitioner.

Respondents.

Shri D.P. Saxena, Consultant Tariff, Shri C.S. Sharma, D (F) and Shri V.K. Kashyap, Joint Secretary, CRA Cell appear on behalf of MPPTCL, Shri D.K. Ojha, Addl. S.E. appears on behalf of MP Paschim KVVCL and Shri A.R. Verma, Addl. S.E. appear on behalf of MP Madhya KVVCL.

- 2. The Petitioner M.P. Power Transmission Company Limited (MPPTCL) has filed this petition in the matter of Review of Commission's order for Determination of Transmission and allied charges for FY06, passed on 07.02.2006 (Petition No. 111 of 2005).
- 3. The Petitioner has submitted in the Petition that in the Transmission Tariff Order for FY06 the Commission has approved the provision for terminal benefit liabilities of Rs. 127.62 Crore. As the FY06 has been over, the actual amount disbursed to meet the terminal liabilities for FY06 by MPPTCL is Rs. 124.17 Crore for 10 months. MPPTCL has collected the data in this regard from various field Regional Account Offices of Distribution Companies, Generating Company, Transmission Company and Central Office of MPSEB. The statement indicating payment made on this behalf has been filed along with. MPPTCL has further informed that the amount of the leave encashment has been excluded from this amount. On the basis of 10 months expenditure on this account the annual figures has been worked out to the extent of Rs. 149.00 Crore. MPPTCL has requested the Commission to allow Rs. 149.00 Crore for FY06 towards the expenditure on account of terminal benefits. The Petitioner has further requested the Commission to revise the transmission tariff accordingly.
- 4. The Commission has heard the request of the Petitioner. The Commission has noted that the Petitioner has not filed the audited balance sheet and statement of account for FY06 in support of its contention. The Commission has opined that the expenditure made by the Transmission Licensee towards the payment of the terminal liabilities shall be considered by the Commission if the Transmission Licensee substantiates its request with proper documents i.e. the audited balance sheet and statement of the account of the Company. The Commission has enquired from the representatives of the Company about the finalization of audited balance sheet and statement of account of the Company. The representative has informed the Commission that the audited balance sheet and the statement of account of the Company for FY06 would be available by August 2006. Therefore, the Commission directs that the request of the Company shall be considered only after the submission of audited balance sheet and statement of account.
- 5. During the course of hearing today the Commission has also enquired about the revision of bills of transmission charges for FY06 on the basis of the Commission Transmission Tariff Order for FY06 and forwarding them to Distribution Licensee. The Transmission Licensee has replied that the bills have been revised but when the Commission has further enquired about the submission of proof of payment of transmission charges made by the Distribution Licensee, the representative of the Transmission Licensee was not able to produce the same before the Commission. The Commission directs the MPPTCL to submit the full details of revised bills, monthly payment along with the mode of payment made and the receipt by 15/05/2006.
- 6. As the Commission is not considering the review petition filed by MPPTCL at present, the Commission directs the office to refund the fee of Rs. 50,000.00 (Rs. Fifty Thousand only) paid by the Transmission Licensee.
- 7. With the above directions, the Commission decides to close the case.

(R. Natarajan) (D.Roy Bardhan) (P.K.Mehrotra) Member (Econ.) Member (Engg.) Chairman