

Home About Us Acts & Rules Regulations **Consumer Service** Regulated Entity MPERC Info **Related Links** RTT Act 2005 Non-Conventional Tenders Info Tariff Orders & Petitions Suo Motu Orders **Final Orders** Approach & Dicussion Papers **Contact Details** Feedback Form Miscellaneous Info National Electricity Policy Tariff Policy MIS Status Contact Us

## Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Metro Plaza, Arera Colony, Bittan Market, Bhopal 462 016

In the matter of Sanction of estimate and issue of work order of external electrification work of IInd phase of our residential township – Sahara States, Village Chhan, 11 Mile, Bhojpur Road, Bhopal.

Petition No. 35/2005

<u>O R D E R</u> Dated 26th October, 2005.

Sahara India Commercial Corporation Ltd., Sahara India Kunj, 195, Zone-I, M.P. Nagar, Bhopal	-	Petitioner
<b>V/s</b> M.P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Bhopal	-	Respondent

None appears on behalf of Petitioner.

Shri M.K. Gupta, SE (O&M), appears on behalf of M.P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Bhopal.

2. The petition is in the matter of external electrification work of IInd phase of residential township - Sahara States at Village Chhan, Bhopal. On the last date of hearing, the Commission directed that the petitioner to deposit security equivalent to the cost 33/11 KV substation etc. with the respondent based on estimate prepared by the respondent. The Commission also directed the respondent to intimate the petitioner the amount of security to be deposited. The rate of interest on this security amount shall be the prevailing bank rate. The Commission also directed that respondent shall submit the progress report in the matter on the next date of hearing.

3. During the course of hearing, the respondent submits in its reply that the estimates for external electrification of second phase of M/s. Sahara States has been issued to the petitioner and the petitioner has also deposited 15% supervision charges. The respondent has also issued work order on 10th October 2005.

4. Considering the progress report submitted by the respondent in this matter and that none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner, Commission is of the view that nothing more is required in this matter, therefore, the Commission decided to close the case.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-(R.Natarajan)(D.Roybardhan)(P.K.Mehrotra)Member (Econ.)Member (Engg.)Chairman