

MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub : In the matter of directions to the MPPKVCO Ltd. for release of 14.2 MVA power connection at 33 KV for a short period under the Clause 1.19 General Terms and Condition of High Tension Tariff of Tariff Order FY 2011-12.

Petition No. 17/2012

ORDER

(Date of hearing 6th March, 2012)

(Date of order 7th March, 2012)

M/s Diamond Cement Ltd.,
Prop. Heidelberg Cement India Ltd.
Imlai, Narsinghgarh,
Damoh, MP. - Petitioner

V/s

M.P.Poorv Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd.,
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur. - Respondent No. 1

M.P.Power Transmission Co. Ltd.,
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur. - Respondent No. 2

Shri S.M.Jain, Chartered Engineer and Shri Ajay Porwal, Consultant appeared on behalf of Petitioner.

Smt. Sadhna Dixit, EE (Comm.) appeared on behalf of Respondent No.1.

Shri S.K.Dubey, AE appeared on behalf of Respondent No.2

2. The Petitioner has filed this petition in the above matter under the Clause 1.19 General Terms and Condition of High Tension Tariff of Tariff Order dated 23.05.2011.

3. Petitioner is having H.T. connection of 8.990 MVA at 33 KV. Petitioner has submitted that it requires an additional power demand of 6.6 MVA from 1.4.2012 due to expansion work undertaken by it for increasing the cement manufacturing capacity. Petitioner has submitted that the Respondent no.1 has sanctioned the revised load of 15.6 MVA vide its letter dated 9.8.2010. Consequently a supplementary agreement has been executed on dated 9.8.2010 for release of 15.6 MVA power at 132 KV. Thereafter, the MPPTCL (Respondent No. 2) released the detailed estimate for extension of 25 Kms

(Cont. to next page)

Sub : In the matter of directions to the MPPKVCO Ltd. for release of 14.2 MVA power connection at 33 KV for a short period under the Clause 1.19 General Terms and Condition of High Tension Tariff of Tariff Order FY 2011-12.

single/double circuit 132 KV tower line along with two nos. 132 KV bay at the transmission grid. While the 132 KV bay works was to be done by Respondent no. 2, the laying of line was to be done by the Petitioner under supervision of Respondent No.2.

4. Petitioner deposited Rs 2.81 Crores with the Respondent no. 2 on dated 10.11.2010 and thereafter deputed M/s Hythro Power Corporation Limited as its contractor for the 132 KV transmission line work vide order dated 20.12.2010. As per the work order the schedule for completion of 132 KV line was during January 11 – July 11. However, due to extended monsoon and the ROW problem there is delay in erection of 132 KV line. The work of 132/33 KV SS at Petitioner end and the 132 KV bay work by Respondent 2 is already completed. Due to the delay in completion of 132 KV tower line it is necessary to get additional power of at least 5.21 MVA totaling to 14.2 MVA (as against total additional requirement of 6.61 MVA after the expansion plus 8.99 MVA existing) at existing 33 KV line failing which the entire investment towards the expansion work would be a loss to the Petitioner and the revenue loss to the Respondent 1 for additional 5.21 MVA.

5. In order to save above losses an application was filed with the Respondent no. 1 on 10.10.2011 for release of additional 5.2 MVA power at 33 KV for temporary period till the 132 KV transmission line is completed. However, vide letter dated 23.12.11 Respondent no.1 expressed its inability to release additional power at 33 KV due to the prevailing regulations and advised the Petitioner to obtain the specific permission from the Commission.

6. Petitioner has made the following prayer:

- 1) Respondent no. 1 may be given specific directions to release additional power demand of 5.21 MVA over and above existing demand of 8.99 MVA, totaling to 14.2 w.e.f 01.04.2012.
- 2) The estimated time for this temporary accommodation may be pegged at three months with provision for additional three months period, which is for a total period of 6 months.

7. The case was listed for hearing on 06.03.2012.

(Cont. to next page)

Sub : In the matter of directions to the MPPKVVCO Ltd. for release of 14.2 MVA power connection at 33 KV for a short period under the Clause 1.19 General Terms and Condition of High Tension Tariff of Tariff Order FY 2011-12.

8. During the hearing on 06.03.2012, the representative of Petitioner reiterated the contents of the petition and requested for additional power of 5.21 MVA over and above the existing contract demand of 8.99 MVA w.e.f. 01.04.2012. The Commission enquired from representative of Respondent No.2 regarding Right of Way problem being faced by Petitioner. The representative of Respondent No.2 could not reply. However, the Respondent No.2 in its written submission submitted that there will be no technical constraint in making available the subject power of 14.2 MVA to the Petitioner, provided the CTs installed on 33 KV dedicated feeder of Petitioner having ratio 200-100/1 Amp are replaced by Petitioner with CTs having ratio of 400-200/1 Amp at their cost before availing the additional power at 33 KV.

9. During the hearing the representative of Respondent No.1 submitted that the Petitioner may be allowed to draw additional load at 33 KV on temporary basis. The consumer shall have to pay temporary charges for additional supply.

10. On hearing Petitioner and Respondents, the Commission grants permission to allow additional load of 5.21 MVA over and above the existing contract demand of 8.99 MVA w.e.f. 01.04.2012 for a period of 3 months with the following conditions:

- (a) The Petitioner is required to replace the existing CTs having ratio of 200-100/1Amp by 400-200/1 Amp at his cost before availing additional power at 33 KV.
- (b) Petitioner shall have to pay charges as per temporary tariff for the additional load of 5.21 MVA over and above existing contract demand of 8.99 MVA with effect from the date of availing load.

11. With the above directions, the Petition No. 17/2012 stands disposed of.

Ordered accordingly,

sd/
(C.S. Sharma)
Member

sd/-
(Rakesh Sahni)
Chairman