

MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub : In the matter of enhancement in contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA on 11 KV supply.

Petition No. 13/2012

ORDER

(Date of hearing 10th April, 2012)

(Date of order 13th April, 2012)

Gokuldas Hospital Pvt. Ltd.,
11, Dr. Sarju Prasad Marg,
Indore (MP).

- Petitioner

V/s

MP Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd.,
Indore (MP).

- Respondent

Shri P.L.Nene, Advisor and Shri Sanjay Jain appeared on behalf of Petitioner.

Shri P.K.Jain, EE (Comm.) and Shri Anant Chaure, Law Officer appeared on behalf of the respondent.

2. The petitioner has filed this petition in the matter of enhancement in contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA at 11 KV supply under Clause 1.19 General Terms and Conditions of High Tension Tariff of tariff order dated 23.05.2011.

3. The petitioner has an HT connection at 11 KV with contract demand of 300 KVA. The petitioner has submitted that the connected load of the hospital is increasing due to installation of new MRI and high-tech investigation machines and therefore 300 KVA contract demand has to be increased upto 400 KVA. The petitioner has submitted that there is no 33 KV line near the hospital. The existing 33 KV line is on the other side of the road which is about 800 meters away from the premises. The petitioner has submitted that the respondent has refused to increase the contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA at 11 KV as per Clause 1.18 of tariff order.

4. The petitioner has further submitted that as per Clause 1.19 of tariff order, the deviation in respect of minimum/maximum contract demand is permissible only after

(Cont. to next page)

Sub : In the matter of enhancement in contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA on 11 KV supply.

obtaining approval of the Commission. The Clause reads as under :

“The deviation, if any, in respect to maximum/minimum contract demand on account of technical reasons may be permitted after obtaining specific approval of the Commission by the consumer.”

5. In view of the above submissions, the petitioner has requested to increase contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA at 11 KV supply. The case was listed for hearing on 06.03.2012.

6. During the hearing on 06.03.2012, the representative of the petitioner requested the Commission to allow enhancement in the contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA at 11 KV. He submitted that the connected load of the hospital is increasing due to installation of MRI and high-tech investigation machines. These machines shall be installed by July, 2012. He further submitted that 33 KV line is not available near the hospital and there will be right-of-way problems due to the boundary of premises of Government TB Hospital, a temple and cutting of large trees for which permission shall be required.

7. During the hearing, the representative of the respondent submitted that permission may not be granted to the petitioner in view of Clause (iv) of Supplementary Agreement for additional load executed on 21.06.2012 wherein it was specifically mentioned that sanction for more than 300 KVA shall be considered at 33 KV and changeover of supply from 11 KV to 33 KV shall be done at the cost of the petitioner.

8. The Commission enquired from the representative of the respondent whether the site conditions had been seen and the views of the respondent on the petitioner's contention of right-of-way problems in laying 33 KV line up to the premises of the petitioner and the time required for laying the 33 KV line in case 400 KVA load is allowed at 33 KV as per the provisions of the Electricity Supply Code, 2004. The

(Cont. to next page)

Sub : In the matter of enhancement in contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA on 11 KV supply.

representative of the respondent could not reply and sought some time to submit the details. The Commission allowed the respondent to submit reply by 31.03.2012 and the case was listed for hearing on 10.04.2012.

9. During the hearing on 10.04.2012, the respondent submitted that there is a right-of-way problem in laying 33 KV line upto the premises of the petitioner. He also submitted that the respondent company will have no objection if the Commission grants permission to the petitioner for enhancement in the contract demand from 300 KVA to 400 KVA at 11 KV.

10. On hearing the petitioner and the respondent, the Commission grants permission to supply 400 KVA at 11 KV to the petitioner.

11. In view of the above, the petition No. 13 of 2012 stands disposed of.
Ordered accordingly,

sd/-
(C.S.Sharma)
Member

sd/-
(Rakesh Sahni)
Chairman