

Sub: In the matter of approval of short term open access/ Group captive of power

ORDER

(Date of hearing: 20th June,2017)

(Date of order: 20th June,2017)

M/s SRF Ltd., - Petitioner
C-30, Flat 101,
Govindpuri, Sachin Tendulkar Road,
Gwalior- 474011

State Load Despatch Centre, - Respondent
Nayagaon, Rampur, Jabalpur

Shri Gautam Kothari, Authorized Representative appeared on behalf of the petitioner.
Shri R.A. Sharma. SE (LD) appeared on behalf of the respondent.

2. The petitioner, M/s SRF Ltd., Gwalior has filed this petition in the matter of approval of short term open access/ Group captive of power. The case was listed for motion hearing on 16.05.2017.

3. During the motion hearing, the petitioner restated the contents of the petition. The Commission admitted the petition and the next date of hearing was fixed for 20.06.2017.

4. During the hearing on 20.06.2017, the petitioner stated that the short term open access for 9 MW has already been allowed by the respondent from Aug.'13 to Oct.'16 and Feb.'17. Now, the open access is being denied on the ground of 'system constraints in transmission network'. Also, as per the provisions of the Electricity Supply Code, the licensee can deviate from the specified limit of 10 MW at 33 kV. The petitioner is ready to submit an undertaking that the power under short term open access will not be drawn for more than the contract demand and there will not be any overloading on the transmission system.

5. During the hearing on 20.06.2017, the respondent submitted that the written submission is already filed. In its written submission, it is stated that the petitioner has applied for drawl of 10.44 MW power under short term Open Access for the month of April, 2017 through bilateral mode. Since the capacity of 33 kV corridor through which the petitioner is connected with M.P. Grid has already been exhausted against 10 MVA contract demand, no

Sub: In the matter of approval of short term open access/ Group captive of power

further margin is left to avail the power through short term open access, in existing arrangement.

6. Having heard the petitioner and the respondent and on considering their written submissions, the Commission has noted that the proviso to the clause 3.4 of the Electricity Supply Code, 2013 states that;

“ Provided that if the licensee is satisfied that there are sufficient grounds for deviation in the norms above stated and such deviation is technically feasible, it may grant the same for reasons to be recorded in writing.”

In its written submission, the respondent has submitted that the concerned Discom has already requested the SLDC to consider the contract demand in terms of the clause 3.4 of the Electricity Supply Code, 2013 while allowing the short term open access to the consumers. Under the above circumstances, the short term power over and above the contract demand of 10 MVA at 33 kV cannot be allowed.

7. In view of the above, the petition no. 16 of 2017 stands disposed of.

Ordered accordingly.

(Alok Gupta)
Member

(A.B.Bajpai)
Member

(Dr. Dev Raj Birdi)
Chairman