MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub : In the matter of application for suitable directions to the respondents to remove their seal on DG sets of the petitioner in Banmore Dist. Morena and permit to commissioning them.

Petition No. 56 of 2009

ORDER

(Date of Hearing 19th January, 2010) (Date of Order 20th January, 2010)

M/s IRS Industries Pvt. Ltd.,	-	Petitioner
95-98, Industrial Area,		
Banmore, Dist. Morena.		
M. P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd.,	-	Respondent

Nishtha Parisar, Govindpura, Bhopal - 462023.

Respondent

None appeared on behalf of the Petitioner.

Shri Enosh George Carlo, Advocate appeared on behalf of the Respondent. 2. The petition is in the matter of issue of directions to the Respondents to remove their seal on DG sets of the petitioner in Banmore Dist. Morena and permit to commissioning them. The Commission had directed to fix the hearing on 06.01.2010.

3. During the hearing on 06.01.2010, the Commission had asked the representative of the Petitioner to establish whether the Commission has the jurisdiction to hear the above petition. The representative of the Petitioner could not answer the query. The Commission had also observed that the representative of the Petitioner has not submitted the required authorization to attend the hearing. The representative of the Petitioner made a request to allow him to submit the reply alongwith proper authorization after a week's time.

Cont.....

Sub : In the matter of application for suitable directions to the respondents to remove their seal on DG sets of the petitioner in Banmore Dist. Morena and permit to commissioning them.

4. The Commission considered the request of the Petitioner and directed him to submit the reply by 15.01.2010 and the next date of hearing was fixed on 19.01.2010.

5. During the hearing on 19.01.2010, no representative appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

6. The Commission is of the view that sufficient opportunities have been given to the petitioner to represent the case however, the Petitioner has failed even to be present. The Commission feels that the Petitioner is not keen in pursuing the matter. The Commission, therefore, directs to dismiss the case in default.

Ordered accordingly,

(C.S.Sharma) Member (Eco.) (K.K.Garg) Member (Engg.)