

Home

About Us Acts & Rules

Regulations
Consumer Service

Related Links

RTI Act 2005

Tenders Info

Final Orders

Tariff Orders &

Suo Motu Orders

Approach & Dicussion Papers

Contact Details

Feedback Form
Miscellaneous Info

Tariff Policy

MIS Status

Contact Us

National Electricity

Regulated Entity
MPERC Info

Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

5th Floor, Metro Plaza, Arera Colony, Bittan Market, Bhopal 462 016

Petition No. 76/2005

In the matter of reduction of demand charges for supply to Chitrakoot Cement Pvt. Ltd. Mini Cement Grinding Unit, Village Birahuli, Satna.

ORDER

Dated 26th October, 2005.

M/s. Chitrakoot Cement Pvt.Ltd., - Petitioner Village Biruhuli,Satna

village birunu

V/s

M.P. State Electricity Board, Jabalpur - Respondent

Shri Yogendra Singh, Director appears for the petitioner

Shri D.K Gaudetra, Addl. S.E. O/o CMD, (EZ), Jabalpur appears on behalf for the respondent Board.

- 2. The petitioner M/s. Chitrakoot Cement Pvt.Ltd filed this petition is in the matter of reduction of demand charges. The petitioner is running the Mini cement grinding unit with a capacity of 50 MT per day at Village Biruhuli, Satna. The petitioner is taking electricity from the respondents 33 KV rural feeder and its contract demand is only 150 KVA. The petitioner runs the mini plant only in day time i.e. between 6 am to 6 pm.
- 3 The petitioner submitted in the petition that there is regular load shedding on the supply feeder from 6.00 AM to 2.00 PM. During other hours the supply is intermittent. It is stated by the petitioner that Commission's order dated 21/03/2005 in Suo Motu petition 21/2005 has stated that the recovery of fixed charges be stayed from the consumers who are affected by load shedding under order of MPSEB dated 11.02.2005. Therefore, the petitioner submits that they are affected by load shedding order of the respondent and requested to the Commission to exempt the petitioner from payment of fixed charges.
- 4. The Commission heard the parties. The position of load shedding and intermittent supply of power has been explained by the petitioner and respondent. Respondent submits that petitioner opted for rural feeder knowingly the position of power supply in the rural feeder. However, the Commission advised the petitioner that the licensee is willing to provide a dedicated feeder to the consumer either individually or jointly who agree for this. The Commission further clarifies that in so far as reduction in demand charges is concerned, the same is already allowed at the Tariff order which has come into effect from 15th July, 2005. For the earlier period, the matter shall be covered by the final order which shall be passed in the relevant case in due course of time.
- $\,\,$ $\,$ With the directions aforesaid the Commission decides to close the case.

Ordered Accordingly.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Sd/(R.Natarajan) (D.Roybardhan) (P.K.Mehrotra)
Member (Econ.) Member (Engg.) Chairman