MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub: In the matter of review of MPERC (Security Deposit) Regulations, 2001 (as amended upto date Clause 1.18).

 $\frac{ORDER}{(Date of hearing 8^{th} September, 2009)}$

M/s Mittal Corporation Ltd., Abhay Prashal, Race Course Road, Indore.

V/s

M.P.Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Indore.

Respondent

Petitioner

Shri P.L.Nene, Consultant appeared on behalf of the Petitioner.

Shri S.K.Rukmangad, ASE appeared on behalf of the Respondent.

- 2. The petition is in the matter of review of MPERC (Security Deposit) Regulations, 2001 (as amended upto date Clause 1.18).
- 3. During the hearing the Petitioner made a request that the amount of Security Deposit should not exceed based on 60 days' average billing.
- 4. During the hearing the representative of the Respondent made a request to adjourn the hearing on the ground that the concerned Officer could not attend the hearing.
- 5. On hearing both the Petitioner and the Respondent, the Commission has considered the written submission of the Respondent. The Commission has directed that MPERC (Security Deposit) (Revision-I) Regulations, 2009 has since been notified on 04.09.2009 wherein it is provided that in the event of default in payment of monthly bills for more than twice in a Financial Year, the Licensee shall be entitled to increase the Security Deposit to 60 days' consumption level. The Licensee shall take further necessary action in accordance with the above provision.
- 6. With the above directions, the Petition No. 32 of 2009 stands disposed off. Ordered accordingly,

(K.K.Garg) (C.S.Sharma) (Dr. J.L. Bose) Member (Eco.) Member (Engg.)

Chairman