

Home

About Us Acts & Rules

Regulations

MPERC Info

Related Links

RTI Act 2005

Tenders Info
Tariff Orders &

Final Orders

Approach &
Dicussion Papers

Suo Motu Orders

Contact Details Feedback Form

Tariff Policy

MIS Status

Contact Us

Miscellaneous Info

National Electricity

Consumer Service Regulated Entity

Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

5th Floor, Metro Plaza, Arera Colony, Bittan Market, Bhopal 462 016

Petition No. 82/05

SUB: REVIEW PETITION UNDER REGULATION 40 MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (CONDUCT OF BUSINESS) REGULATION, 2004.

MPSEB through its Companies - Petitione M.P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Pologround, Indore

ORDER Passed on this day 6th January 2006

Shri K. S. Vyas, Superintending Engineer (City Circle) M.P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Indore and Shri Surjeet Singh, Advocate appear on behalf of the petitioner.

- 1. This petition is for review of Commission's order regarding determination of miscellaneous and general charges.
- 2. The petitioner M.P.P.K.V.V. Co. Ltd., Indore submits in its written reply that in so far as the supply of electricity to the consumer is concerned, it is a statutory duty cost on the licensee which it cannot shirk. During the course of hearing today, the petitioner submits that the draft agreement is proposed to be executed between the petitioner and the licensee. The colonizer and developer of the company seeking external electrification is required either to install 33/KV substation at his own cost in the colony which he is developing or to pay to the Board Rs. 2300/- per KW as system strengthening charges. The petitioner submits that by this agreement the petitioner proposes to raise loan and erect and install the 33/11 KV grid sub-station on the lands and access provided by the proposed consumer who shall be given single point supply with a condition of I0% load factor which shall invariably be adjusted every month towards the repayment of the said loan. This process shall go on till the repayment of the entire amount of loan, which shall bear interest at the saving Bank rate permitted by RBI. The petitioner further submits that the proposed draft agreement with consensus of all Distribution Companies is being submitted to the Commission to enable the petitioner Discom to comply with the order.
- 3. Having heard the petitioner, Commission is principally agreed with the petitioners need to have funds for executing the work of external electrification. During the course of hearing, petitioner submits that earlier as per Board's circular No. 08-01/W-1/356/752 dated 30.01.1999 system strengthening charges Rs. 2,300 per KW were payable by all the colonizers/developers of the colony having connected load beyond 2150 KW, the colonizer will be required to provide new 33/11 KV substation of suitable capacity (Substation of 1x5 MVA) at his cost. The work of augmentation/additional power transformer shall be carried out by the Board for loads upto 2150 KW wherever necessary. Further, if the connected load of the colony is less than 2150 KW and it is not feasible to give supply from the existing system even by augmentation, the colonizer will have to construct a new substation of 1.6 MVA at his cost. In reply to the query made by the Commission, it is responded by the petitioner that developer or the colonizer seeking external electrification is required either to install 33/11 KV substation at his own cost in the colony or the consumer have to pay the Board Rs. 2,300 per KW as strengthening charges, but not both. Petitioner could not reply satisfactorily on the legality of single point supply.
- 4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, Commission directs the petitioner to redraft the petition within a legal framework and it may be submitted alongwith the next tariff petition seeking necessary revision in the Miscellaneous and General Charges. Commission also directs the petitioners to clarify the basis to arrive @ Rs. 2300/- per KW for system strengthening charges as atpresent system strengthening charges. There must be a clear proposal as to where developer is required to pay sub-station charges and where there is need to pay Rs. 2,300/- per KW or whatsoever and what amount shall be payable towards connection charges by existing consumers.

With the directions aforesaid, the Commission decides to close the case.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/(R.Natarajan) Sd/(D.Roybardhan)

Sd/-

Chairman

(P.K.Mehrotra)
Member (Econ.)
Member (Engg.)