MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATIORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub: In the matter of review of retail supply tariff order for FY 2015-16 issued on 17/04/2015 for granting relief and modification under tariff schedule HV3 and include rolling mills under tariff schedule HV 3.4 (Power Intensive Tariff).

ORDER

Date of hearing: 23 June, 2015 Date of order: 25.06.2015

M/s Iron & Steel re-rollers associations of MP:

61/1, Sanwer Road, Sector F, Indore -452007

Petitioner

M. P. Power Management Co. Ltd., Shakti Bhawan, Rampur,

Jabalpur – 482 008.

M.P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd.

GPH Compound, Pologround, Indore - 452 015.

Respondents

Shri Dinesh Dangi and Shri R.C. Somani appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

- 2. The petitioner has filed the subject petition with a prayer for inclusion of rolling mills under the power intensive tariff HV3.4 falling under HV3 tariff schedule (Industrial, Non-industrial, Shopping mall) of retail supply tariff order FY15-16 and to treat the iron rolling mill industries at par with mini steel plant (MSP), MSP with rolling mills/sponge iron plants. The petitioner has requested the Commission for review of the retail supply tariff order for FY 2015-16 for error apparent in categorization of the consumers of same nature and same product. Petitioner has stated in submission that the rolling mill industries are very small units and due to presence of mini steel plant (MSP) & MSP with rolling mills who are benefited by the concessional tariff HV3.4 meant for power intensive industries, the petitioner loses their competitiveness owing to high cost of energy—that significantly add the cost of production of petitioner for the same product as produced by the mini steel plant (MSP) & MSP with rolling mills.
- 3. During the hearing, the Commission heard the arguments put forth by the petitioner. The Commission noted that for filing a review petition, the following conditions are to be fulfilled by the petitioner:

.

<u>Sub</u>: In the matter of review of retail supply tariff order for FY 2015-16 issued on 17/04/2015 for granting relief and modification under tariff schedule HV3 and include rolling mills under tariff schedule HV 3.4 (Power Intensive Tariff).

- (a) Discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the order was passed or;
- (b) on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record or;
- (c) any other sufficient reason
- 4. The Commission further observed that since the tariff category HV 3.4 has been in vogue from FY 2011-12 and also the applicability of tariff category HV3.4 has remained same, the petitioner could not produce any new and important matter of evidence for consideration of the Commission. The petitioner could not establish either any error apparent on the face of the rocord or any other sufficient reason on the basis of which the review of the retail supply tariff order for FY 2015-16 could be considered. As such, this review petition is not admissible and is dismissed.

Ordered accordingly

(Alok Gupta) Member (A. B. Bajpai) Member (Dr. Dev Raj Birdi) Chairman

Petition No.27/2015