MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL

Sub: In the matter of permitting 14 MVA load at 33 KV to Bhilai Jaypee Cement Ltd. located at Birhauli, Dist. Satna (MP). Petition No. 26 of 2010 **ORDER** (Date of Hearing : 7th July, 2010) (Date of Order : 19th July, 2010) M/s Bhilai Jaypee Cement Ltd., Petitioner Village, Birhauli, Teh : Raghuraj Nagar, Dist. Satna V/s M.P.Poorv Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Respondent No. 1 Jabalpur. M.P.Power Transmission Co. Ltd. Respondent No. 2 Shakti Bhawan, Jabalpur. Shri P.L.Nene, Advisor appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. Shri Sanjay Kumar Okhade, Executive Engineer appeared on behalf of Respondent No.1. Shri M.M.Dhoke, Executive Engineer appeared on behalf of Respondent No. 2. 2. The petition is in the matter of extension of time for temporary arrangement permitting 14 MVA load at 33 KV to the Petitioner. The case was listed for hearing on 16.06.2010. 3. During the hearing on 16.06.2010 the following submissions were made : The Petitioner submitted that the Sub-station at the Petitioner's premises is ready a. to take the supply at 132 KV. The Petitioner has filed the petition because 220 KV Sub-station and 132 KV line are under construction. These works are to be completed by the MP Power Transmission Co. Ltd. which is likely to be delayed. b. The representative of Respondent No. 1 made a submission that the work of commissioning of 132 KV line is likely to be delayed due to acute right of way problem noticed during the survey of 132 KV line and therefore, the supply of 14 MVA load at 33 KV to the Petitioner may be extended on the same terms and conditions as contained in Order dated 11.01.2010 in Petition No. 68/2009. He has further submitted that the period of 6 months as per approval will expire on 13.07.2010. (Cont. to next page)

Sub: In the matter of permitting 14 MVA load at 33 KV to Bhilai Jaypee Cement Ltd. located at Birhauli, Dist. Satna (MP).

c. The representative of Respondent No. 2 submitted that the delay in construction of 220 KV Sub-station is due to non-completion of work by the Contractor. The MP Power Transmission Co. Ltd. had issued notice to the Contractor but he has obtained stay order from the Hon'ble High Court till 30.06.2010. The representative of Respondent No. 2 has further informed that after 30.06.2010, the work shall be taken up departmentally. The survey and profiling work of line has already been completed and its construction has recently been taken up.

4. On hearing the Petitioner and the Respondents, the Commission enquired from the Respondent No. 2 regarding the basis for confirmation made during the hearing in Petition No. 68/2009 wherein it was stated that the construction work of 220 KV Sub-station and 132 KV line shall be completed within 6 months time. The representative of the Respondent No. 2 could not reply satisfactorily.

5. The Commission therefore directed the Respondent No. 2 to submit the details of initial schedule/plan of work including date of award of contract for construction of 132 KV line and 220 KV Sub-station, time line for construction, erection, hurdles noticed, efforts made to overcome the hurdles and new time line for completion of work of 220 KV Sub-station and 132 KV line. The reasons for slippages in completion schedule also need to be brought-out. The Commission directed to submit the above information within 15 days. The next date of hearing was fixed on 07.07.2010.

6. During the hearing on 07.07.2010, the Commission enquired from the Respondent No. 2 as to why the Commission was not informed regarding likely delay in completion of 132 KV line and 220 KV Sub-station as per commitment given by the Respondent No. 2 in Petition No. 68/2009. The representative of the Respondent No. 2 could not reply satisfactorily. The Commission also enquired from the Petitioner as to why the total amount of estimate was not paid to the Respondents. The representative of the Petitioner submitted that the actual length of 132 KV line is expected to be lesser than estimated and revised demand from Respondent No. 2 is required. The representative of the Respondent No. 2 also submitted that the next date of hearing in Hon'ble High Court is fixed on 13th July, 2010 in the case filed by the contractor of Sub-station. They at this juncture are unable to commit to any firm time line for completion of

(Cont. to next page)

Sub: In the matter of permitting 14 MVA load at 33 KV to Bhilai Jaypee Cement Ltd. located at Birhauli, Dist. Satna (MP).

Sub-station. However, line work is expected to be completed in first half of January 2011.

7. The Commission, after hearing the Petitioner and the Respondents, has observed that Respondent No.2 has not brought out full facts and has, by and large, been evasive in his replies. The Commission has directed that the Respondent No. 2 should inform the Commission regarding outcome of the Hon'ble High Court's decision in the hearing to be held on 13th July, 2010 and based on the Court's verdict submit the time by which 132 KV supply can be given to the Petitioner. The Commission further directed the Respondent No. 1 to supply additional 4 MVA power (total 14 MVA) at 33 KV as a temporary arrangement for further period of six months subject to completion of necessary formalities by the Petitioner.

8. With the above direction, the Petition No. 26 of 2010 stands disposed off.

(C.S.Sharma) Member (Eco.) (K.K.Garg) Member (Engg.)