



Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

5th Floor, Metro Plaza, Arera Colony, Bittan Market, Bhopal 462 016

[Home](#)
[About Us](#)
[Acts & Rules](#)
[Regulations](#)
[Consumer Service](#)
[Regulated Entity](#)
[MPERC Info](#)
[Related Links](#)
[RTI Act 2005](#)
[Non-Conventional](#)
[Tenders Info](#)
[Tariff Orders & Petitions](#)
[Suo Motu Orders](#)
[Final Orders](#)
[Approach & Discussion Papers](#)
[Contact Details](#)
[Feedback Form](#)
[Miscellaneous Info](#)
[National Electricity Policy](#)
[Tariff Policy](#)
[MIS Status](#)
[Contact Us](#)

Petition No. 137/2004

SUB:-IN THE MATTER OF REDUCTION IN CONTRACT DEMAND U/S 7.9 TO 7.14 OF THE ELECTRICITY SUPPLY CODE, 2004, AS ALSO IN INTERPRETATION OF BOARD CIRCULAR NO. 1715 DATED 16/06/2004, SETTING UP CONDITIONS FOR REDUCTION IN CONTRACT DEMAND.

JAYPEE BELA PLANT (Unit of Jaiprakash Associates Ltd.,) Rewa (M.P.)	-	Petitioner
V/s M.P. State Electricity Board	-	Respondent

ORDER

(Passed on this day 14th December, 2005)

Shri P. L. Nene, Consultant appears on behalf of the Petitioner.
Shri P.V. Sathe, Addl. (SE), O/o CMD, East Zone and Shri M.L. Jaiswal, Senior Advocate appears for the Respondent Board. .

2. During the course of hearing on 08/12/2005, Commission had directed the Petitioner to submit the data of consumption for the period 01/01/05 to 30/06/05 to the Board and directed the Board to verify the data of consumption and submit to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

3. As required by the Commission on the last date of hearing the Respondent, through Fax dated 12/12/2005 has submitted the chart of monthly maximum demand of the petitioner.

4. The Petitioner submits that the Commission vide order dated 14/12/2004 has directed the Board to provisionally allow reduction of contract demand to the petitioner upto 10 MVA for 6 months and if the maximum demand recorded during this period exceeds 10 MVA, the Board may impose penalty as provided in Tariff order dated 10/12/2004.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case the Commission is of the view that the condition for reduction in contract demand put up by the East Discom is wrong and if in the initial period of 2 years is over and it is the duty of the licensee to agree to the reduction. Commission directs the respondent to reduce the contract demand accordingly. Commission further directs the Respondent that the billing should be done on the reduced contract demand of the petitioner and orders that the interim order has been made absolute.

6. Taking into consideration the same nature of Petition No. 157 of 2005 of M/s Birla Corporation Limited with Petition No. 137 of 2004, Commission had earlier directed to club both the cases but on closer examination of the matter, the Commission is of the view that notice be issued to the Respondent to submit the reply separately in respect of petition no. 157/2005. With the directions aforesaid, the Commission decides to close the case in respect of petition no. 137/2004.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(R.Natarajan)
Member (Econ.)

Sd/-
(D.Roybardhan)
(P.K.Mehrotra)
Member (Engg.)

Sd/-
Chairman