

Sub: In the matter of providing facility of feed extension to Mangliyagaon H.T. supply agreement on the same terms and conditions implemented in all other Railway traction sub-stations.

ORDER

(Date of Hearing : 1st June, 2013)

(Date of Order : 3rd June, 2013)

Sr. Divisional Electrical Engineer, - Petitioner
Traction-Distribution
Western Railway
Ratlam.

V/s.

M.P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran co. Ltd., - Respondent
GPH Compound, Pologround, Indore.

Shri C.S. Yadav, DEE/TRD appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Shri Pavan Kumar Jain, ASE and Shri BrijBhushan Singh, Law Assistant appeared on behalf of M.P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Indore.

Ms. S. Dixit, EE appeared on behalf of M.P. Poorva Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Jabalpur.

Shri A.R. Verma, GM (Commercial), appeared on behalf of M.P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Bhopal.

2. Motion hearing in the matter was held on 14th May 2013. The petition was admitted and the notices were issued to all three Distribution Companies. During the hearing held today i.e, 1st June, 2013, the Commission observed the following:

(i) Vide letter No. CE/Com-EZ/HT/Railway/837 dated 28th May 2013, MP Poorva Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Jabalpur filed its reply to the subject petition.

(ii) Vide letter No. CMD/WZ/05/11851 dated 28.05.2013, M.P. Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd., Indore filed its reply to the petition on 31st May' 2013

3. Parties were heard on the merits of their respective views

4. Having heard the petitioner and the representatives of the Distribution Companies, we find force in the contention of the petitioner and the arguments put forward by the respondents as well. The petitioner is seeking facility of feed extension in Mangliyagaon high tension supply agreement, entered into with the respondent

Sub: In the matter of providing facility of feed extension to Mangliyagaon H.T. supply agreement on the same terms and conditions implemented in all other Railway traction sub-stations.

Continued from pre-page

Distribution Company on 05.12.2011, on the same terms and conditions as were provided in all other HT agreements executed earlier with the erstwhile MPSEB without considering the excess demand for billing purpose in terms of the Retail Supply Tariff Order.

5. The issues involved in the petition are linked with the Retail Supply Tariff Order for FY 2013-14 issued by the Commission. Therefore, the Commission is not inclined to take any decision which may amount to review of the Tariff Order at this stage. The petitioner is advised to approach the Commission at the time of processing the forthcoming petition to be filed by the respondents for determination of ARR and retail supply tariff for FY 2014-15.

Ordered accordingly.

sd/-
(Alok Gupta)
Member

sd/-
(A.B. Bajpai)
Member

sd/-
(Rakesh Sahni)
Chairman