

**MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, BHOPAL**

**Sub : In the matter of reconsideration/review/modification in the MPERC order dated 11.01.2012 in Petition No. 78/2011.**

**Petition No. 18/2012**

**ORDER**

**(Date of hearing 17<sup>th</sup> February, 2012)**

**(Date of order 21<sup>st</sup> February, 2012)**

M.P. Madhya Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Limited,  
Nishtha Parisar, Govindpura, Bhopal (M.P)

- Petitioner

V/s

M/s. Omega Renk Bearings Pvt. Ltd.,  
Anand Nagar, Raisen Road,  
Bhopal (M.P.)

- Respondent

Shri A.R. Verma S.E. (Coml.) appeared on behalf of Petitioner.

Shri Sushil Prakash, Managing Director appeared on behalf of Respondent.

2. Petitioner filed this petition in the above matter.

3. Petitioner is seeking review of Commission's order dated 11.1.2012 passed in Petition No. 78/2011 filed by the M/s. Omega Renk Bearings. The Petitioner has quoted clause 6(6) of CEA (Technical standards for connectivity to the grid) Regulations. The said provides that "*for interconnection studies the requester shall make a request for connection in the planning stage to the Appropriate Transmission Utility. In case a requester is seeking interconnection to a distribution system, such a request will be made to the distribution licensee. The appropriate transmission utility or distribution licensee shall carry out the interconnection study to determine the point of interconnection, required interconnection facilities and modification required to the existing grids if any to accommodate the interconnection.*"

4. Further the Petitioner quoted the provision 3.59(c) titled as "connectivity with the grid" of Jawaharlal Lal Nehru National Solar Mission guidelines. The said clause 3.59(c) reads as follows :-

**(Cont. to next page)**

**Sub : In the matter of reconsideration/review/modification in the MPERC order dated 11.01.2012 in Petition No. 78/2011.**

*“The plant should be designed for interconnection with the State Transmission Utility (STU) at the voltage level of 33 KV or above, further the interconnections should be at the substation.”*

5. Petitioner has submitted that as per the provision 9 of Scheme of incentives for generation of power through non-conventional energy source dated. 07-07-1994 as amended on 26.09.94 “the transmission/distribution lines and transformers required for transmitting power from a non-conventional energy generating unit to the nearest grid substation of MPEB & also equipments required for synchronizing, protection etc. will be provided by the party as per specifications of MPEB”.

6. Petitioner has submitted that in accordance to the aforesaid regulations/ policies the Petitioner issued circular No.CMD.MK./Com/1735 dated 05-12-11. The connectivity clause of the said circular reads as under:

**Connectivity:** *The connectivity to generators seeking connectivity at 33 or 11 KV lines should be provided from the nearest 33/11 KV substations by LILO arrangement at developers cost. The independent 11 KV or 33 KV feeders with LILO (looping in and looping out) arrangement is necessary to facilitate:*

- (i) Maintain/routine testing of HT consumers, 33/11 KV substation without interruption in supply which would affect generator.*
- (ii) Effective control is available with Discom to prevent back feeding of supply from generator during maintenance or breakdown.*
- (iii) Effective control is available for implementation of SLDC directives.*

7. The Petitioner has stated the following difficulties which the licensee is facing:

- (i) Load Regulatory Problems – Such as taking shut down in the line which is tapped for connectivity to Solar/Non-conventional power plant, if required.*

**(Cont. to next page)**

**Sub : In the matter of reconsideration/review/modification in the MPERC order dated 11.01.2012 in Petition No. 78/2011.**

- (ii) Energy Audit Problems – It is very difficult to audit the flow of energy in a tapped line because the metering equipments & meters for energy audit purpose are provided at substations.
- (iii) With the increasing number of open access/captive power consumers, the MPERC order dated 11-01-2012 in petition no. 78/2011 may be taken as precedence for forthcoming consumers and create the problems described above in addition to the safety issues.

8. In view of the above submissions, Petitioner has prayed as under:

- (a) Take the accompanying petition on review/ reconsideration of MPERC order dated 11.01.2012 on record and treat it as complete.
- (b) Grant stay on the said order dated 11.01.2012 until this petition is decided.
- (c) Consider and approve MPMKVVCL's petition including all requested Regulatory treatments in the filing.

9. Petitioner is seeking review of Commission's order dated 11.01.2012 passed in Petition No. 78/2011 filed by Respondent before the Commission seeking approval for evacuation of 120 KW of power generated from Solar Plant at Bilkheria, through the 33 KV line. The Commission vide its order dated 11.01.2012 has held as under:

*“On hearing Petitioner and Respondent, the Commission is of the view that the problem of Petitioner is a genuine one which should have been resolved by Respondent No. 1 at their end. The Commission also noted that Respondent No. 1 has already recommended connectivity with the nearest 33 KV feeder for Petitioner's 70 KW Solar Power Project. The Commission therefore directs connectivity to the aforesaid Solar Power Project with nearest 33 KV feeder”.*

10. The case was listed for hearing on 06.03.2012 which was rescheduled on 17.02.2012.

11. During the hearing on 17.02.2012, Petitioner reiterated the contents of the petition. The Commission then enquired from Petitioner whether this review petition is

**(Cont. to next page)**

**Sub : In the matter of reconsideration/review/modification in the MPERC order dated 11.01.2012 in Petition No. 78/2011.**

maintainable on merits as there appears no apparent error in Order dated 11.01.2012 passed by the Commission in Petition No. 78/2011 and also no new facts have been put forth by Petitioner. The representative of Petitioner could not reply satisfactorily. During the hearing, Respondent requested to grant permission for evacuation of 120 KW power generated from their Solar Plant as per the directions issued in Petition No. 78/2011.

12. On hearing Petitioner and Respondent, the Commission is of the view that this review petition is not maintainable due to lack of justification and proper reasons. The petition is, therefore, dismissed. Petitioner is directed to ensure expeditious compliance of order dated 11.01.2012 of the Commission mentioned hereabove.

13. With these directions, the Petition No. 18/2012 stands disposed of.

Ordered accordingly,

sd/-  
**(C.S.Sharma)**  
**Member**

sd/-  
**(Rakesh Sahni)**  
**Chairman**