

MADHYA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

BHOPAL

Sub: In the matter of Petition under Regulation, 46 of MPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 seeking appropriate directions for setting aside the demand of rental charges for the use of existing 33 KV double circuit electric line raised in violation of provisions of Electricity Act and Regulations without there being incurred any cost of line by MPPKVCL read with Clause 5.1- Clause-7 of MPERC (Recovery of Expenses and other Charges for Providing Electric Line or Plant used for the purpose of giving supply) (Revision-II) Regulation, 2022' {RG-31(II) of 2022} notified on 31.05.2022 and Clause 5.2 of MPERC (Cogeneration and Generation of Electricity from Renewable Sources of Energy) Regulations, 2021 (Revision-II) (RG-33(II) of 2021).

Petition No. 20 of 2023

ORDER

(Hearing through video conferencing)

(Date of Order: 18th July' 2023)

Harsh Nirman Infraworld LLP,
Village Bid Pipliya,
Tehsil- Susner, Dist. Agar Malwa (MP)

- **Petitioner**

Vs.

1. The Managing Director,
MP Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd.,
GPH Compound, Polo-Ground,
Indore

- **Respondents**

2. Superintending Engineer (O&M),
MP Paschim Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Co. Ltd.,
Dist. Agar Malwa (MP)

Shri Dheeraj Singh Pawar Advocate appeared on behalf of the Petitioner.

Shri Nirmal Sharma, SE appeared on behalf of the Respondents

Petitioner filed the instant petition seeking appropriate directions for setting aside the demand of rental charges for the use of existing 33 KV double circuit electric line raised in violation of provisions of Electricity Act and Regulations without there being incurred any cost of line by MPPKVCL read with Clause 5.1, Clause 7 of MPERC (Recovery of Expenses and other Charges for Providing Electric Line or Plant used for the purpose of giving supply) (Revision-II) Regulation, 2022 notified on 31.05.2022 and Clause 5.2 of MPERC (Cogeneration and Generation of Electricity from Renewable Sources of Energy) Regulations, 2021 (Revision-II).

Petition was admitted on motion hearing on 13.06.2023 and directions were given to serve notices to Respondents. Case was fixed for hearing on 18.07.2023.

At the hearing held on 18.07.2023, Petitioner informed that the dispute between them and Respondents has been settled amicably and disputed demand has been withdrawn by Respondent. As the disputed demand has been withdrawn by Respondents,

Petitioner has requested for withdrawal of Petition vide affidavit dated 21.06.2023.

In light of the prayer made by Petitioner, Commission allowed withdrawal of Petition. The Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

(Prashant Chaturvedi)
Member

(Gopal Srivastava)
Member (Law)